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Abstract
The High Energy Photon Source (HEPS) is a 6-GeV, kilometre-scale storage ring light source to be built in China. One of the main design challenges of the storage ring is to minimize collective instabilities associated with the impedance of small-aperture vacuum components. In this paper we present beam coupling impedance measurements obtained by the well-known coaxial wire method, for the HEPS strip-line kicker. The frequency dependent real and imaginary parts of the distributed impedance are obtained from the measured S-parameters.

INTRODUCTION
In the framework of the design study of High Energy Photon Source (HEPS), a kilometre scale quasi-diffraction limited storage ring light source with the beam energy of 6 GeV to be built in Beijing area, most of the parameter choices are driven by the requirement for the unprecedented low emittances. The main design parameters [1] of the HEPS are summarized in Table 1. The layout and optical functions of one 7BA are shown in Figure 1.

Table 1: HEPS Lattice Design Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy $E_0$</td>
<td>6 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam current $I_0$</td>
<td>200 mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circumference</td>
<td>1360.4 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural emittance $\varepsilon_0$</td>
<td>34.2 pm.rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working point $\nu_x/\nu_y$</td>
<td>114.14/106.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural chromaticity (H/V)</td>
<td>-215.9/-292.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of super-periods</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID section length $L_{ID}$</td>
<td>6.00/6.07m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS energy spread</td>
<td>1.061×10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentum compaction</td>
<td>1.561×10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy loss per turn</td>
<td>2.888 MeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HEPS will operate with bunch swap out and vertical on-axis injection. In this scheme, a target bunch will be extracted to a beam dump and the resulting empty RF bucket filled with a replacement bunch from the booster. The planned 680 bunch fill pattern (high-brightness mode) places difficult demands on the injection and extraction kickers. The present concept uses dual strip-line kickers driven by high voltage (HV) pulser. Minimizing perturbation on adjacent bunches requires very fast rise and fall times with relatively narrow pulses.

MEASUREMENT SETUP
Longitudinal coupling impedances can be deduced from scattering parameters (S-parameters) measurements performed on a coaxial wire. The coaxial wire method is a well-established technique for the deduction of coupling impedances. The wire is mimicking the particle beam. A coaxial shield is used to guide the electromagnetic fields. Coaxial tapers on both ends adapt the wave impedance to the 50 $\Omega$ impedance of coaxial cables, sources and receivers. Using a vector network analyzer (VNA), S-parameters of the setup with the device under test (DUT) and the smooth reference beam pipe (REF) are obtained. These measurements are sufficient to mathematically deduce coupling impedances of the DUT.

The impedance measurement experimental set up consists of a strip-line kicker as DUT, a pure aluminium pipe as REF, the KEYSIGHT E5071C ENA and N4433A Electronic calibration kit for S-parameters measurement over a wide spectral range up to 20 GHz with the help of 3.5 mm coaxial cables which provides 50 $\Omega$ matching network at
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both ends of the DUT and REF. There are two types of wire used in this measurement \( (r = 0.25\,\text{mm} \text{ and } r = 0.15\,\text{mm}) \). It should be noted that an adjuster section and a matching section are placed at either end of the DUT in order to minimize losses of the signal from the VNA. There is a 50 \( \Omega \) matched load connected with the feed-throughs. The bench measurement set up is shown in Figures 3 and 4.

Figure 3: Lab set-up of network analyzer impedance measurements.

Figure 4: The adjuster section and matching section.

COMPARISON OF MEASUREMENTS AND SIMULATION

There are several formulas that can be used to calculate the longitudinal impedance from the measured S-parameters. For a lumped device, i.e. short compared to the wavelength, the impedance could be given by Hahn-Pedersen formula (HP formula) \([2]\):

\[
Z_{\text{HP}} = -2Z_c \left( \frac{S_{21,\text{DUT}} - S_{21,\text{REF}}}{S_{21,\text{DUT}}} \right)
\]

with \( S_{21,\text{REF}} \) and \( S_{21,\text{DUT}} \) are the measured transmission coefficients of a smooth reference pipe and the DUT respectively. \( Z_c \) is the characteristic impedance for a coaxial line:

\[
Z_c = \frac{Z_0}{2\pi} \ln \left( \frac{b}{a} \right)
\]

For the strip-line kicker impedance measurement experimental, the coaxial line characteristic impedance is about 227 \( \Omega \) with \( a=0.25\,\text{mm} \) and \( b=11\,\text{mm} \) as shown in Figure 5.

In the course of measuring distributed structures such as kickers, it was noticed that the HP formula can yield unphysical negative resistances. Using Faltens model [3] for distributed wall impedances, Walling et al, introduced the log formula for use in structures which are longer than the beam tube diameter [4] :

\[
Z_{\text{log}} = -2Z_c \ln \left( \frac{S_{21,\text{DUT}}}{S_{21,\text{REF}}} \right)
\]

Several so-called improved log formulae have been suggested but are of questionable value, under certain conditions, better results and deserves to be compared to the standard formulae. The well-known improved log formula is Vaccaro formula [5] :

\[
Z_{\text{Vaccaro}} = -2Z_c \ln \left( \frac{S_{21,\text{DUT}}}{S_{21,\text{REF}}} \right) \left[ 1 + \ln \left( \frac{S_{21,\text{DUT}}}{S_{21,\text{REF}}} \right) \right]
\]

Which was further discussed by E. Jensen [6] :

\[
Z_{\text{Jensen}} = -2Z_c \ln \left( \frac{S_{21,\text{DUT}}}{S_{21,\text{REF}}} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{cf}{4\pi fL} \ln \left( \frac{S_{21,\text{DUT}}}{S_{21,\text{REF}}} \right) \right]
\]

where \( c \) is the light velocity, \( f \) is frequency, and \( L \) is the length of DUT.

The log formula is easy to use and represents a good approximation for a distributed impedance, so log formula was used in our experimental data processing.

Figure 6: Measured S-parameters for DUT.

Figure 7: Measured S-parameters for REF.
We scanned the S-parameters over the range 0-20 GHz, but there are some high peaks above 4GHz, which are supposed to be introduced by the poor matching at the feedthroughs or higher modes other than TEM. The cut-off frequency of the side beam pipe is about 8.0GHz (with \( r_{out}/r_{in} = 11\text{mm}/0.25\text{mm} \)), so we chose the specific band 0~8GHz as shown in Figures 6 and 7.

Figure 8: Longitudinal impedance of strip-line kicker from measured S-parameters.

Using the log formula(3), we got the longitudinal impedance of strip-line kicker as shown in Figure 8, we found there are resonances about every 175 MHz, and the amplitude of the resonances is around 200 Ohm.

We also do some simulations about the strip-line kicker by using the code CST Studio Suite [7]. Using the wakefield solver of CST PARTICLE STUDIO, we could get the wake potential and impedance of the kicker. In the wakefield solver, the structure is excited by a line current (longitudinally Gaussian shaped charge distribution) representing the beam. Using the Time Domain solver of CST MICROWAVE STUDIO and Waveguide Port, we could get the S-parameters of the kicker as we do in the impedance measurement experiment. The CST model used was shown in Figure 9.

Figure 9: Simulation models. A: model used in CST MICROWAVE STUDIO, B: model used in CST PARTICLE STUDIO.

Figure 10: Measured and simulated S-parameters for DUT.

DISCUSSION

The impedance measurements on strip-line kicker for the HEPS storage rings agree with the impedance from S parameter simulation, but not agree well with the impedance from wake-field simulation. The end matching section is not ideal resulting in a large signal reflection. The issues that optimization design matching section and careful design the sucobox are ongoing.
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