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### SuperKEKB

SuperKEKB is an asymmetric-energy and double-ring electron-positron collider. It is the upgrade of KEKB with a luminosity 40 times larger than its predecessor.

**FIGURES OF MERIT:**
- $E_{e^+} = 4 \text{ GeV}$
- $E_{e^-} = 7 \text{ GeV}$
- $L = 8 \times 10^{35} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

**UPGRADES:**
- Nano-beam scheme
- Doubled currents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$LER(e^+)$</th>
<th>KEKB</th>
<th>SuperKEKB Phase 2.1</th>
<th>SuperKEKB Phase 2.2</th>
<th>SuperKEKB Phase 2.3</th>
<th>SuperKEKB Phase 2.4</th>
<th>SuperKEKB Phase 3.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$HER(e^-)$</td>
<td></td>
<td>LER</td>
<td>HER</td>
<td>LER</td>
<td>HER</td>
<td>LER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_x^* (\mu m)$</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_y^* (nm)$</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I (mA)$</td>
<td>1637</td>
<td>1188</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L (10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CURRENT PHASE**
- $\phi_{cross} = 11 \text{ mrad}$

**UPERPHASE**
- $\phi_{cross} = 41.5 \text{ mrad}$

---
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Fast luminosity monitoring at SuperKEKB

• Horizontal drifts and vibrations of the beams can cause a significant luminosity degradation;

• Fast luminosity monitoring is required for the dithering feedback system;

• Forward radiative Bhabha events have a very large cross section ($\sigma \approx 150 \text{ mbarn}$);

• The rate of Bhabha events is proportional to the luminosity;

\[ \frac{dN}{dt} = \sigma L \]

• A dithering feedback system is used to monitor and control the horizontal position of the beams;

• LumiBelle2 is a fast luminosity monitor that measures Bhabha events using diamond sensors.
Beam H-V offset and luminosity degradation

HORIZONTAL OFFSET FEEDBACK
- Dithering system inherited from PEP-II;
- 8 sets of coils (H/V) on LER, dithering at f=77 Hz, max horizontal bump 5σ_x;
- Fast luminosity monitors (LumiBelle2, ZDLM), 1 kHz;
- Lock-in amplifier to extract luminosity components at f, 2f;

VERTICAL OFFSET FEEDBACK
- 4 beam position monitors (BPMs) at 51 cm from the IP in HER/LER, 32 kHz;
- 4 electrodes for each BPM at 10.5 mm from orbit;
- 25 Hz vibration $\rightarrow \Delta y = 18.6 \text{nm (rms)} \rightarrow$ luminosity drop 4.6%;

OFFSET CORRECTION (H: 1 Hz; V: 100 Hz)
- 12 corrector magnets (8V, 4H) on HER with max kick 50 $\mu$rad;
- Ultimate goal: suppression of luminosity loss to below 1% in both H and V.
Dithering feedback system

- The dithering system makes one beam to oscillate around its orbit as $\Delta x_{\text{dith}} = p \sin(2\pi ft)$ with frequency $f = 77\text{Hz}$;
- Luminosity oscillates and Fourier components give information about the relative position of the beams;
- If $|q| > p$ FT peaks at $2f$, if $|q| < p$ FT peaks at $f$;
- Relative phase dithering-luminosity gives sign of $\Delta x$;

\[
\Delta x = q + p \sin(2\pi ft)
\]

$|q| > p$ goes up and down on a side

$|q| < p$ goes over across the peak
Luminosity monitoring: two complementary techniques

LumiBelle2

Both measure photons, recoiling electrons or positrons from the extremely forward-angle radiative Bhabha scattering, which has a very large cross section ($\sigma \approx 150 \text{ mbarn}$).

- Single crystal CVD diamond sensors;
- $4 \times 4 \times 0.5/0.14 \text{ mm}^3$;
- Fast charge/current amplifiers;
- Digital electronics.

ZDLM (Zero Degree Luminosity Monitor)

- Cherenkov and scintillator detectors;
- Photomultipliers;
- $15 \times 15 \times 64 \text{ mm}^3$ LGSO non-organic scintillator and ES-crystal (quartz);
- Analog electronics;
Diamonds sensors and amplifiers

- Wide band-gap (5.5 eV) semiconductor devices;
- Strong atomic bond (radiation resistant);
- Radiation damage above $\approx 1\text{MGy}$;
- High drift velocity (fast detector).

SUPERKEKB COLLISION PERIOD = 4 ns
140/500 $\mu m$ diamond + CURRENT/CHARGE AMPLIFIER:

- Shaping time: 4/10 ns
- Threshold: 10/5 mV
Signal processing algorithms

Sampling on the signal sequences at 1GHz:

\* TIL:

if \( S[(i - 1) \times 2 + 1] - S[(i - 1) \times 2 + 3] > \text{threshold} \):

\[ \text{TIL} + = S[(i - 1) \times 2 + 1] - S[(i - 1) \times 2 + 4] \]

\* Rawsum:

if \( S(j) > \text{threshold} \):

\[ \text{Rawsum} + = S(j) \]

No trigger + Synchronizion -----> Continuous monitoring, averaging at 1 kHz

TIL and Rawsum represent different ways to calculate the luminosity from the measured signal.
HER (electron) side experimental setup

- Signal: Bhabha photons
- Background: Bremsstrahlung photons, Touschek electrons
- Platform: 30.5-30.8 m downstream of IP
- 3 sensors: up, down, side

![Diagram of HER beam pipe with electron (e-) and IP markers]
LER (positron) side experimental setup

- Signal: Bhabha positrons
- Background: Bremsstrahlung positrons, Touschek positrons
- Platform: 11 m downstream of IP
- 3 sensors aligned
- Special beam pipe with window + radiator
Background sources

**Coulomb scattering:**
- Proportional to vacuum pressure and beam current;
- Important globally but negligible rate at LumiBelle2;

**Bremsstrahlung scattering:**
- Proportional to vacuum pressure and beam current;
- Largest source of background for LumiBelle2 in phase 2;
- Photons measured on HER (e-) side;
- Positrons measured on LER (e+) side;

**Touschek scattering:**
- Proportional to square of beam current;
- Inversely proportional to beam size;
- Sizable rate in LER LumiBelle2 for Phase 2.
Background measurements (HER)

A-B (current-rate vs time):
- Rate (B) well correlated with SKB current (A);
- Rate is due to beam background.

C (pressure vs current):
- Pressure proportional to current;
- Gas desorption due to SR.

D (rate vs pressure X current):
- Rate proportional to $I \times P$;
- Background from beam-gas scattering.
Comparison with simulation

**SIMULATION FEATURES:**
- Bremsstrahlung, Coulomb, and Touschek scattering;
- Detailed simulation of pressure profile and chemical composition of vacuum gas ($Z_{eff} \approx 4.2 - 4.5$).
  - J. Carter (ANL) and M. Ady (CERN);

**HER:**
- The only significant rate comes from Bremsstrahlung photons;
- Electron rates from Bremsstrahlung, Coulomb, and Touschek are negligible($\ll 1Hz$);

**LER:**
- The most significant rate comes from Bremsstrahlung positrons;
- 10% of the rate from Touschek effect;
- Positron rate from Coulomb scattering is negligible;
First luminosity measurements at SuperKEKB

• First Bhabha events measured on April 25, 2018;
• Vertical and phase (longitudinal) scans were performed to find the optimal position of the beams;
• Estimations of luminosity: \( L_{HER} \approx 8 \times 10^{31} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \); \( L_{LER} \approx 9 \times 10^{31} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \); \( L_{ZDL} \approx 7 \times 10^{31} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) \( \Rightarrow \) \( L \approx (8 \pm 2) \times 10^{31} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \);
• The plot and the estimated luminosities show that our 4 detectors and the ZDLM work well and are in agreement.
LumiBelle2 precision/dose and luminosity

**HER:**
- Initially low precision
- Low dose

**LER:**
- High precision
- High dose in Phase 3

- We need both HER and LER to cover the whole range of SKB luminosities;
- Expected Signal/Background ratio 2-100 depending on L;
- HER precision can be improved by using larger diamonds;
- LER can be moved to receive a lower dose;
- Recent study shows 1% level precision enough for horizontal IP orbit feedback with dithering technique.

### Table: Luminosity Monitoring for Belle II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Luminosity</th>
<th>$\Delta L/L$ HER/LER (%)</th>
<th>Dose HER/LER (Mgy/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2.1</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>29/2</td>
<td>2e-7/2e-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2.2</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>21/0.6</td>
<td>1.5e-6/1.5e-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2.3</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>15/0.4</td>
<td>3e-6/3e-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2.4</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>11/0.3</td>
<td>6e-6/6e-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 3</td>
<td>$8 \times 10^{35} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>3/0.07</td>
<td>1.2e-4/0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

• Presented project goals, experimental setup, measurements, simulation, for the fast luminosity monitor LumiBelle2 at SuperKEKB;

• Reported on the first operations of LumiBelle2 during the early stages of Phase 2 commissioning;

• LumiBelle2 monitor works as expected. We have shown measurements of single beam background and luminosity;

• Simulation shows reasonable agreement with the measurements. Background is produced by Bremsstrahlung, while other sources of background are smaller/negligible. Validated predictions for precision and S/N;

• The first collisions show that all of our 4 detectors and the ZDLM can measure the luminosity and are in agreement with each other.
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